

Help Needed With Broken Links

Post by “Cassius” of December 29, 2022 at 12:28 PM

Sorry to interrupt the chain of deep thought but this is a purely procedural post.

Don has reported that he is having trouble with most of the links being non-working in the box on the right side of the home page which looks like this:

distinction between "kinetic" and "katastematic" pleasure. This argument is unsound, probably not of Epicurean origin at all, and can be very damaging to a proper understanding of Epicurus. To research this issue, start with [Boris Nikolsky's "Epicurus on Pleasure,"](#) which argues that the katastematic issue was not introduced by Epicurus and reflects a later Stoic-influenced viewpoint. Next, read the chapters on Epicurus in [Gosling and Taylor's "The Greeks on Pleasure,"](#) from which Nikolsky got the inspiration for his article. The whole section on Epicurus is good, but be sure to read their [Chapter 19 "Katastematic and Kinetic Pleasure."](#) Add to that the Wentham article ["Cicero's Interpretation of Katastematic Pleasure,"](#) which highlights how emphasis on katastematic pleasure contradicts other core aspects of Epicurean philosophy.

Those shorter articles should then take you back to the best general book on Epicurus, [Norman DeWitt's "Epicurus and His Philosophy."](#) DeWitt provides a sweeping overview of Epicurus which hardly mentions the katastematic - kinetic distinction except to point out how - even if one considers the categories relevant - Epicurean philosophy embraces both types. If you don't read anything else at this website, check out the articles listed above, and you'll see how important this issue is to a proper understanding of Epicurean philosophy. And if you are brand new to the study of Epicurus, be sure to start your study with DeWitt's

(Note - the text needs rewriting but it still serves as a useful link to some key articles so I will defer a rewrite until later.)

The problem is that those links don't work for Don, but they do work for me and at least one other person who tried to access them. Could anyone who has time to check click on a couple of them and let me know if they report any "file not found" or other errors?

thanks very much.

Post by “Todd” of December 29, 2022 at 1:15 PM

They are working for me, but I did notice something that might be the issue:

The URL in the links looks like this:

<https://www.epicureanfriends.com/wcf/filebase/index.php?file/43-nikolsky-epicurus-on-pleasure/>

But the URL it actually resolves to is this:

Code

```
https://www.epicureanfriends.com/wcf/filebase/file/43-nikolsky-epicurus-on-pleasure/
```

The server is providing a (correct) 301 response that points to the correct URL.

However, browsers will sometimes cache these things, and then automatically give you a different URL than the one you clicked on, without even asking the server.

For Don, I'd suggest clearing his browser's cache. In Firefox, this would be under History -> Clear Recent History. Then uncheck everything except Cache. Edit: you'd also want to set Time range to clear to Everything.

For Cassius, I'd suggest updating the URLs in those links. Likely only an issue for people who have been using the site since those URLs moved, though. Need not be a high priority.

Post by “Cassius” of December 29, 2022 at 1:40 PM

That makes sense and thank you Todd! Yes a couple of months ago I changed a setting in the forum software to tell it to start using "pretty" links as opposed to those with the PHP content. And Don has been around for so long he might have the old version cached. I will check those links again now.

Post by “Cassius” of December 29, 2022 at 2:00 PM

I have made the changes Todd suggested and I bet that will fix the problem. If anyone has further problems with these or any other broken links, please report them. Thanks to Don and Todd for their help with this.