

# **June 29, 2022 Epicurean Zoom Gathering**

**Post by “Kalosyni” of June 26, 2022 at 8:00 AM**

Hi Everyone, Join us again this Wednesday at 8:30pm ET

# Open Invitation Epicurean Zoom

Join us for  
Epicurean  
philosophy,  
conversation, and  
community building

This week: PD 11 & 12



Wednesday June 29th, 8:30pm ET

PD 11 -- If we were not troubled by our suspicions of the phenomena of the sky and about death, fearing that it concerns us, and also by our failure to grasp the limits of pains and

desires, we should have no need of natural science.

PD 12-- A man cannot dispel his fear about the most important matters if he does not know what is the nature of the universe but suspects the truth of some mythical story. So that without natural science it is not possible to attain our pleasures unalloyed.

-- An example of Greek myths: [The Earth on Fire: A Weather Folklore - The ancient Greeks created an elaborate myth to explain heat waves and droughts.](#)

New attendees are welcome join, [please sign up through Eventbrite click here to go to Eventbrite page.](#)

---

### **Post by “Cassius” of June 26, 2022 at 8:46 AM**

Thank you!!!

---

### **Post by “Don” of June 26, 2022 at 11:35 AM**

A couple interesting parallels between the Tetrpharmakos and [PD11](#) in the Greek:

2nd line of Tetrpharmakos:

ἀνύποπτον ὁ θάνατος

Death is "free from risk" (lit., Without suspicion)

2a. ἀνύποπτος (anyoptos) LSJ: without suspicion; i.e., free from risk, “θάνατος” Phld.Sto.Herc.339.4.

[PD11](#) (beginning...)

Εἰ μὴθὲν ἡμᾶς αἰ τῶν μετεώρων ὑποψίαι ἠνώχλουν καὶ αἰ περὶ θανάτου,...

If our \*suspicions\* about astronomical phenomena and about death were nothing to us and troubled us not at all,

ὑποψίαι

1. suspicion, jealousy, ὑποψίην ἔχειν ἔς τινα Hdt., attic; πρὸς τινα Dem.; ἐν ὑπ. ποιεῖσθαι Aeschin.

2. of the object, ἔχειν ὑπ. to admit of suspicion, Plat.; ὑπ. παρέχειν Thuc.

ἀνόποτος (anyroptos) and ὑποψία (hyropsiai)

are related to each other.

Other related terms:

ὑποπτος m (ύροptos, “suspect”)

ὑποπτη f (ύροpti, “suspect”)

ὑποπτος (ύροptos, “suspect”) (adjective)

υποπτεύομαι (υροτένομαι, “to suspect”)

---

**Post by “Cassius” of June 29, 2022 at 9:34 AM**

to our normal conversation we will discuss PD 11 & 12.  
<https://www.eventbrite.com/.../epicurean-open-invitation...>

Reminder of our purpose and ground rules for the discussion:

#### Ground Rules

- Our objective is to provide friendly, supportive, and encouraging discussion among friends and new attendees who are generally supportive of the philosophy of Epicurus. This is not a professional academic philosophy group for debate of philosophies or viewpoints that are not consistent with Epicurean philosophy. We also have a "no-politics" rule, so please do not bring up political issues of any kind. We are also unable to address clinical depression or emotional disorders. Our participants are not experts in any field, and all conversations are understood to be friendly and informal exchanges of opinions.
- We expect participants to conduct themselves in a friendly manner. Participation does not express or imply that you agree with every tenet of Epicurean philosophy, but the purpose of the group is to study and discuss Epicurean philosophy. Please do not attempt to use the group for the promotion of religious, political, or philosophical viewpoints that are not consistent with Epicurean philosophy. Those who fail to follow this rule and violate it repeatedly lose participation privileges.



Join us for conversation and community building

## Open Invitation Epicurean Zoom

EVENTBRITE.COM

**Epicurean Open Invitation Zoom - Wednesday 8:30pm EDT**

Epicurean Open Invitation Zoom - Wednesday nights at 8:30pm EDT

---

**Post by "Charles" of June 29, 2022 at 6:48 PM**

I'll attend

---

### **Post by “Cassius” of June 29, 2022 at 7:07 PM**

Great! I hear Godfrey may join us too!

---

### **Post by “Cassius” of June 29, 2022 at 7:38 PM**

[PD11](#). If we were not troubled by our suspicions of the phenomena of the sky, and about death, fearing that it concerns us, and also by our failure to grasp the limits of pains and desires, we should have no need of natural science.

[PD12](#). A man cannot dispel his fear about the most important matters if he does not know what is the nature of the universe, but suspects the truth of some mythical story. So that, without natural science, it is not possible to attain our pleasures unalloyed.

Nate's Compilation:

## **Doctrine Eleven**

**\*\*ΕΙ ΜΗΘΕΝ \*\*\*\*ΗΜΑΣ ΑΙ ΤΩΝ ΜΕΤΕΩΡΩΝ ΥΠΟΨΙΑΙ ΗΝΩΧΛΟΥΝ\*\***

**\*\*ΚΑΙ ΑΙ ΠΕΡΙ ΘΑΝΑΤΟΥ \*\*\*\*ΜΗ ΠΟΤΕ ΠΡΟΣ ΗΜΑΣ Η ΤΙ ΕΤΙ ΤΕ ΤΟ\*\***

**\*\*ΜΗ ΚΑΤΑΝΟΕΙΝ \*\*\*\*ΤΟΥΣ ΟΡΟΥΣ ΤΩΝ ΑΛΓΗΔΟΝΩΝ \*\*\*\*ΚΑΙ ΤΩΝ\*\***

**\*\*ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΩΝ \*\*\*\*ΟΥΚ ΑΝ ΠΡΟΣΕΔΕΟΜΕΘΑ ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑΣ. \*\***

“If apprehensions relating to the heavenly bodies did not disturb us, and if the terrors of death have no concern with us, and if we had the courage to contemplate the boundaries of pain and of the desires, we should have no need of physiological studies.” Yonge (1853)

“If we had never been molested by alarms at celestial and atmospheric phenomena, nor by the misgiving that death somehow affects us, nor by neglect of the proper limits of pains and desires, we should have had no need to study natural science.” Hicks (1910)

“If we were not troubled by our suspicions of the phenomena of the sky and about death, fearing that it concerns us, and also by our failure to grasp the limits of pains and desires, we should have no need of natural science.” Bailey (1926)

“If our dread of the phenomena above us, our fear lest death concern us, and our inability to discern the limits of pains and desires were not vexations to us, we would have no need of the natural sciences.” Geer (1964)

“Were we not upset by the worries that celestial phenomena and death might matter to us, and also by failure to appreciate the limits of pains and desires, we would have no need for natural philosophy.” Long, *The Hellenistic Philosophers* 155 (1987)

“If apprehensions about the heavens and our fear lest death concern us, as well as our failure to realize the limits of pains and desires, did not bother us, we would have no need of natural science.” O'Connor (1993)

“If our suspicions about heavenly phenomena and about death did not trouble us at all and were never anything to us, and, moreover, if not knowing the limits of pains and desires did not trouble us, then we would have no need of natural science.” Inwood & Gerson (1994)

“If we were never troubled by how phenomena in the sky or death might concern us, or by our failures to grasp the limits of pains and desires, we would have no need to study nature.” Anderson (2004)

“If we were never perturbed by frightful second-guessing of natural phenomena and death; if, adding to the above, we were never [beset by] failure to comprehend the proper limits of pains and pleasures: then, we would have no need of natural science.” Makridis (2005)

“If our suspicions about astronomical phenomena and about death were nothing to us and troubled us not at all, and if this were also the case regarding our ignorance about the limits of our pains and desires, then we would have no need for studying what is natural.” Saint-Andre (2008)

“We would have no need for natural science unless we were worried by apprehensiveness regarding the heavenly bodies, by anxiety about the meaning of death, and also by our failure to understand the limitations of pain and desire.” Strodach (2012)

“If we were not harassed by apprehensions caused by celestial phenomena and by the fear that death somehow affects us, and by our failure to comprehend the limits of pains and desires, we would have no need for natural science.” Mensch (2018)

“If no worries about celestial things troubled us at all, or any about death possibly mattering for us, or again if we did not understand the boundaries of pain and desire, we would have no more need for the study of nature.” White (2021)

## Doctrine Twelve

\*\*ΟΥΚ ΗΝ ΤΟ ΦΟΒΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ ΛΥΕΙΝ \*\*\*\*ΥΠΕΡ ΤΩΝ ΚΥΡΙΩΤΑΤΩΝ\*\*

\*\*ΜΗ ΚΑΤΕΙΔΟΤΑ \*\*\*\*ΤΙΣ Η ΤΟΥ ΣΥΜΠΑΝΤΟΣ ΦΥΣΙΣ \*\*\*\*ΑΛΛ\*\*

\*\*ΥΠΟΠΤΕΥΟΜΕΝΟΝ \*\*\*\*ΤΙ ΤΩΝ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΟΥΣ ΜΥΘΟΥΣ\*\*\*\* ΩΣΤΕ ΟΥΚ\*\*

\*\*ΗΝ ΑΝΕΥ ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑΣ ΑΚΕΡΑΙΟΥΣ \*\*\*\*ΤΑΣ ΗΔΟΝΑΣ\*\*

\*\*ΑΠΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ. \*\*

“It would not be possible for a person to banish all fear about those things which are called most essential, unless he knew what is the nature of the universe, or if he had any idea that the fables told about it could be true; and therefore a person cannot enjoy unmixed pleasure without physiological knowledge.” Yonge (1853)

“It would be impossible to banish fear on matters of the highest importance if a man did not know the nature of the whole universe but lived in dread of what the legends tell us.

Hence, without the study of nature there was no enjoyment of unmixed pleasures.”

Hicks (1910)

“It would be impossible to banish fear on matters of the highest importance, if a man did not know the nature of the whole universe, but lived in dread of what the legends tell us. Hence without the study of nature there was no enjoyment of unmixed pleasures.” Hicks (1925)

“A man cannot dispel his fear about the most important matters if he does not know what is the nature of the universe but suspects the truth of some mythical story. So that without natural science it is not possible to attain our pleasures unalloyed.” Bailey (1926)

“It is impossible for men to dispel the fear concerning things of supreme importance not understanding the nature of the whole universe but suspecting there may be some truth in the stories related in the myths. Consequently it is impossible without the knowledge of Nature to enjoy the pleasures unalloyed.” DeWitt, Epicurus and His Philosophy 305 (1954)

“It is not possible for one to rid himself of his fears about the most important things if he does not understand the nature of the universe but dreads some of the things he has learned in the myths. Therefore, it is not possible to gain unmixed happiness without natural science.” Geer (1964)

“There is no way to dispel the fear about matters of supreme importance, for someone who does not know what the nature of the universe is but retains some of the fears based on mythology. Hence without natural philosophy there is no way of securing the purity of our pleasures.” Long, The Hellenistic Philosophers 155 (1987)

“It is impossible for anyone to dispel his fear over the most important matters, if he does not know what is the nature of the universe but instead suspects something that happens in myth. Therefore, it is impossible to obtain unmitigated pleasure without natural science.” O'Connor (1993)

“It is impossible for someone ignorant about the nature of the universe but still suspicious about the subjects of the myths to dissolve his feelings of fear about the most important matters. So it is impossible to receive unmixed pleasures without knowing natural science.” Inwood & Gerson (1994)

“One cannot rid himself of his primal fears if he does not understand the nature of the universe but instead suspects the truth of some mythical story. So without the study of nature, there can be no enjoyment of pure pleasure.” Anderson (2004)

“It is impossible to be released from fear about the most important things for one who, not having adequate knowledge as to what the nature of the whole is, is trying to second-guess this or that in accordance with the [traditional] fairy tales. Hence, it is impossible to enjoy the pleasures in full unless one has studied natural science.” Makridis (2005)

“It is impossible for someone who is completely ignorant about nature to wash away his fears about the most important matters if he retains some suspicions about the myths.

So it is impossible to experience undiluted enjoyment without studying what is natural.” Saint-Andre (2008)

“It is impossible to get rid of our anxieties about essentials if we do not understand the nature of the universe and are apprehensive about some of the theological accounts.

Hence it is impossible to enjoy our pleasures unadulterated without natural science.” Strodach (2012)

“It would not be possible to dispel fear about the most important matters if a man did not know the nature of the universe, but lived in dread of what the myths describe.

Hence, it would be impossible without the study of nature to enjoy unmixed pleasures.” Mensch (2018)

“There was no way to release someone from fear about the most important things if he does not know the nature of the entirety [sc. universe] and if he is worried about any of the tales sung of old; and so there was no way to obtain unmixed pleasures without studying nature.” White (2021)

---

**Post by “Cassius” of June 29, 2022 at 7:53 PM**

News Items To Mention Tonight:

1. Upcoming Festival in Senigallia Italy.
2. Recent Discussions on the Forum
3. Plans for Upcoming Review of "Epicurus and His Philosophy
4. Recent thread on "Romantic Love" is expanding and that topic is always a favorite.
5. Others?

---

**Post by "Cassius" of June 29, 2022 at 11:25 PM**

Thanks to those who joined tonight. We had a very good crowd - not counting the "zoom bombers" who we had to eliminate at the start! That was a weird experience!