

General Identification of the Argument in "On Methods of Inference"

Post by "Cassius" of October 29, 2020 at 1:59 PM

This could turn into a huge thread as well, and that would be a good thing. I really want us to eventually go through the text of *On Methods of Inference* in detail and not even just rely on what DeLacy has summarized. For all we know his analysis is incorrect -- we don't want to put blind faith even in the experts toward whom we are well disposed.

One major advantage that we have here, as opposed to some other of Philodemus' texts, is that the material seems to be pretty well preserved and we're not relying so much on "reconstructions." Although of course as I type that I really don't know that to be the case, and it will be good if we one day find a way to verify that the text is in good shape, and that what we're reading is not just DeLacy's speculations.