

# Episode Thirty-Two: The Atoms Are Colorless, But the Implications Are Not

Post by "Cassius" of August 23, 2020 at 5:53 AM

At risk of cluttering up this thread I want to copy/paste here [a set of clips from Delacy's commentary to On Methods of Inference that I posted here](#). I think what we are debating here involves the category of knowledge in the Epicurean scheme that Delacy refers to under his heading 2b, which DeLacy says "**is important because it involves validity of the doctrine of atoms and void.**"

Below is the clip, and I think what we are wrestling with are our opinions of the validity of the terminology and how we should express our confidence in things we may conclude to be true using this standard:

\_\_\_\_\_ (clipped from the other thread on [Syllogistic and Canonical Reasoning](#)\_\_\_\_\_

I think these three pages pretty well sum up what DeLacy sees as the three categories into which Epicureans divided things (I am using DeLacy's numbering so that is why it appears out of order):

1 - Things we can validate directly through the senses because they are nearby. (Position here should not be controversial, but contradicts Plato.)

2 a - Things which can never be known due to our own limitations, such as whether the number of stars is odd or even - there is no test of truth for these - they can never be known.

2 c - Things we may have to wait to validate through the senses, but ultimately we can get enough data to validate them through the senses. (Position here more aggressive but should not be controversial, still contradicts Plato.)

2 b - Things which by nature we can never get so close as to validate by the senses (the atoms, the far reaches of space). In this category things are considered true when there is some evidence from them and no evidence to the contrary. Multiple things can be considered true / possible. Choosing from among them, that only one is true, is improper absent sufficient evidence to do so, in which case you are in category 2c rather than 2b. (Position on this category is the most controversial; contradicts Plato)

The list of the categories:

[\\$thumbnail=1](#) or type unknown

The test of truth for category 2a of Delacy List - Opinions about things immediately before us are validated directly against the object itself:

[&thumbnail=1](#) or type unknown

The test of truth for category 2c of Delacy list - Opinions about things that can eventually be validated directly by the senses are validated when we get that information :

[&thumbnail=1](#) or type unknown

The test of truth for things in category 2 b, those things which the senses can never examine closely enough to validate. This is the most challenging category and constitutes the Epicurean canonical reasoning on ultimate issues rather than syllogistic reasoning which arguably does not rely on sensory evidence.

[&thumbnail=1](#) or type unknown