

PD10 - Commentary on KD 10

Post by "Cassius" of March 18, 2020 at 6:56 AM

Eugenios where is that Bailey list found? That is an example for why I very much distrust Bailey's interpretations (though not necessarily his translations).

In my view there is no way that Epicurus would ever talk about or endorse wording about "true pleasure."

That just jumps out at me as unEpicurean analysis on his part. Call it Stoicism or Platonism or most any other perspective, but talking about "true pleasure" is a huge red flag. By what standard would anyone know "true pleasure" from "false pleasure"? That is a variation of the trap in [Philebus](#), because surely if there is a standard as to what pleasures are "true" and what are "false" then knowledge of that standard is more important than pleasure itself.