

Isonomy

Post by "Cassius" of January 23, 2018 at 9:17 AM

Maciej -- By this:

"except I think that [epicurean gods](#) are deathless and painless by nature and not by success "in those fields" through progress or evolution as you seems to imply"

I presume you mean that you think gods did not have a beginning point? In other words, you think because they are deathless they have no end point, but because you think that they are that way "by nature" that the gods have always existed and did not have a beginning point. If that is your view, do you mean "all gods" or "particular gods" never had a beginning point?

I think I may agree with you, especially on the implication that one or more of these gods (maybe not all) have always existed. Deathless may be an attribute that might be evolvable over time, but one of the considerations of infinity is "no beginning point" so you are probably right to emphasize that there was no point in the past when there were no "gods"