

Isonomy

Post by “Maciej” of January 23, 2018 at 8:37 AM

And dreams of pious people which are perceptions of mind are attesting for/confirming truth of this inference.

This is what in my opinion is the problem with so called third interpretation. Their proponents do not appreciate how canonically sound epicurus theology is. 1. Blissful and imperishable being is possible. 2. What is conceivably possible to exist in infinite universe necessarily somewhere exist. C: Blissfull and imperishable being necessarily somewhere exist.

I would love to hear real arguement for 3rd interpretation. What was said to this day is insufficient in my opinion.

Cassius. Your idea of epicurean theology is the same as mine exepct I think that [epicurean gods](#) are deathless and painless by nature and not by succes "in those fields" through progress or evolution as you seems to imply.