

# Isonomy

**Post by “Hiram” of January 22, 2018 at 3:18 PM**

Okay, I remember the problem.

"He so interpreted the significance of infinity as to extend it from matter and space to the sphere of VALUES - perfection and imperfection..."

This is what in my view DOES NOT follow from infinity. Why would infinity of space and atoms imply infinity of values, or of "imperfection", etc.

What IS perfection anyway? Where in nature is it observable? And why do we need to imagine that it exists somewhere?

And that this theory was posited in service of a theology also raises questions, not about sincerity, but about the need. Because if what it was seeking to explain was entirely non-observable, then it may be that it starts from a faulty premise.