

# Discussion of the Society of Epicurus' 20 Tenets of 12/21/19

Post by “Mike Anyayahan” of January 9, 2020 at 7:54 AM

## [Quote from Cassius](#)

such a being is of no threat to us, and to serve as a sort of example of what we ourselves should strive for to the extent of our ability. I think it's a reasonable analogy to suggest that lots of young people improved their basketball skills by comparing themselves in their minds to Michael Jordan

[Cassius](#) This is highly probable since the god here is at a complete state of happiness, a reasonable model for Epicureans to live as invisibly as possible the way the God lives invisibly.

## [Quote from Cassius](#)

I get the impression that 98% of the issue is that people today insist that there can be only one definition of "god." They absolutely refuse to consider a "god" to be anything less than omnipotent, omniscience, omnipresent, and all those "magical" qualities that the eastern religions specialize in. It's amazing -- they can read the Epicurean material about a god being natural and not omnipotent,

This is the reason why I am curious what kind of god Epicurus is trying to tell us if such god is not super human or super natural as what the conventional meaning offers us. So far, [Elayne](#) 's reply makes more sense to me.