

Discussion of the Society of Epicurus' 20 Tenets of 12/21/19

Post by "Hiram" of December 30, 2019 at 5:17 PM

[Quote from Cassius](#)

That is the problem with "Humanism" and I do not see you even acknowledging the issue, much less taking the non-absolute position that Epicurus's doctrines would plainly call for.

The problem with humanism is that it means many things to many people. It seems like different organizations agree on different sets of principles for their humanism, which is THEIR hedonic covenant, the rules that THEY have chosen for their organizations. EP says that people will do that, that that is natural morality: an agreement between people. Whatever manifestos people write for their organization is THEIR manifesto, their agreement. The evaluation of the content of these manifestos is a huge task, well beyond the scope of what I can offer, I'm sure I'll agree or disagree with many points, but I'm not gonna lose my mind because a bunch of atheists agree on a set of principles, particularly when they do not claim to be Epicurean and have no reason to state their set of principles in Epicurean terms 😊 I'd rather participate in an organization that chooses Tenets I am okay with living with.