

Responding to the Avicenna "Proof of the Truthful" Argument For A Supernatural God

Post by "Patrikios" of April 11, 2026 at 12:48 PM

[Quote from Cassius](#)

Epicurus would attack this as precisely the kind of empty abstraction the **Canon** cannot support. What sensation, prolepsis, or feeling gives you essence as a thing separable from an actually existing entity?

[Cassius](#) , I appreciate you bringing us this Epicurean defense of nature-based reality.

It might be helpful to add a link from the first mention of "**Canon**", as most of the Epicurus's responses mention it. When I tracked down this link and read it; these defense arguments (trust nature more than dialectic constructs) made these responses more fully understood.

https://astro.epicureanfriends.com/02-key-sources/canonics_analysis/

correct link: https://epicurustoday.com/02-key-sources/canonics_analysis/

Thanks for all you post to keep us reading & thinking & applying!