

# How to argue against the Kalam Cosmological Argument?

**Post by "Cassius" of April 8, 2026 at 6:33 AM**

@Lamar\_44 I note Martin's comment and as soon as I have time will watch that myself.

What conclusion do you reach based on that video?

The entire question of imagination and thought experiments definitely has interest for some people. If Zeno wants to imagine infinite divisibility and construct hypotheticals based on it, then in a free world he has a right to do that, and if it gives him pleasure then more power to him.

I see that the video starts out asking whether a series of math statements getting increasingly smaller really "equals" zero, and the obvious answer is that of course it doesn't because you're defining the question in a way that doesn't mean exactly the same thing as zero.

Do such experiments have practical uses? Probably so - math has lots of practical uses.

But if the purpose of thought experiments is to confuse other people and make them doubt practical reality, then that is not just innocent fun and games, but harmful to real people.

Where do you see all this going and what benefits derive from exploring the things that people can imagine without first establishing that what they imagine is real?