

# **Sunday Zoom - August 17, 2025 - 12:30 PM ET - Topic: "All Sensations Are True"**

**Post by "Cassius" of August 20, 2025 at 4:44 PM**

## [Quote from Rolf](#)

If somebody presents physical, observable, repeatable evidence for something that contradicts my worldview, I'd be a fool to not at least consider it. Blind acceptance and rejection is the domain of supernatural religion. This isn't me blindly rejecting epicurean physics in favour of whatever I read in science articles, but asking honest questions about things I'm genuinely unsure about.

And I completely agree that that is the right attitude. Going further, however, there is absolutely no reason to expect, and therefore every reason to reject, contentions that "one day" science will prove the existence of a supernatural force, or absolute determinism, or radical skepticism, because those are logical impossibilities given what we know already. If you admit that "maybe they'll prove those things tomorrow" then you've essentially lost the game already, because you will have given in and accepted an argument for a "possibility" that has no evidence to support its possibility whatsoever. You've lost the game of logic if you give in to logic that has no basis in hard evidence.

Our position of no supernatural forces, hard determinism is false, and radical skepticism is false, is already proven beyond a reasonable doubt. Those are big philosophic issues, but I personally place "the universe as a whole is eternal" and "the universe as a whole is infinite in size" in the same category as already proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

"Nothing can come from nothing or go to nothing" is very close to the same category, but that is one where everything turns on your definition of a "thing" (energy? waves? some other term?) and since quantum mechanics is what we're talking about, it's perfectly acceptable to me to talk in those terms rather than atoms, as long as the ultimate limit is remembered and the mysticism doesn't thereby creep in.