

# Epicurean Isonomy In The Context Of Statements By Balbus As To Gradations In Life In Book 2 of "On the Nature of the Gods"

Post by "Pacatus" of August 9, 2025 at 5:18 PM

[Quote from Cassius](#)

Clearly just because something can be imagined does not make it possible

Agreed, of course. But, the so-called "sorites problem" (where I agree with TauPhi\* ) aside, I recall that in modal logic there is a principle that would lead to something like this:

If it is logically *necessarily possible* that X exists in some (possible) world, then - in a model of infinite (logically) possible worlds - X will (*necessarily*) exist in at least one of them.

[My italics and underlines.]

Of course, it's not logically necessarily possible that I have really understood all of that ... 😞



+++++

[This type of thinking has been offered as a "proof" of "God." But if a "god" so defined is not logically possible (e.g. is defined incoherently) - let alone logically *necessarily* possible - then such a god cannot exist even within a model of infinite possible worlds.]

+++++

\* I am reminded of a similar language issue in the later Wittgenstein. We, in ordinary discourse, generally have sufficient understanding of what someone says when they use a phrase like "a heap of sand" (or even "a heap of love") without needing an arithmetical rule.