

Epicurus' Prolepsis vs Heraclitus' Flux

Post by "Bryan" of July 10, 2025 at 1:17 PM

[Quote from Eikadistes](#)

Don demonstrated that the "early tenth" refers to the Twentieth

Great example. I am *certain* Don is correct, but I just asked GPT and it said the 7th!

Although the robot is not accurate, I think Cassius is leaning into the correct angle:

There are physicists who take fundamentally different basic assumptions.

From their different basic assumptions (*of whether everything is physical matter or not*) the different sides stack up their evidence.

[Quote from Eikadistes](#)

I don't think, for me, comparing ancient physics with modern physics will be helpful to try to improve our understanding of either.

I mostly agree. I think you will also agree that *taking the side* of the mathematicians who say that *elementary particles can be something else but a discrete hard unit with mass and weight* is a position that is *not based on evidence, but in opinion* -- and it is a basic premise that Epicurus considered and rejected.

So, it seems to me, those who say Epicurus is incorrect on this topic, are not "staying up to date" but just choosing a contrary basic premise.