

Plato's Timaeus vs. On Nature, Book 14

Post by “Bryan” of January 28, 2025 at 10:17 AM

The inclusion of **all void and all space** as something everlasting makes sense and is consistent with Epikouros, who says that only the (1) void and (2) atoms are whole, unchanging, natures. The Whole is everlasting, but always changing.

10.40 "But if a location did not exist, which we call 'void,' 'space,' and 'intangible nature' – bodies would not have anywhere to be, or [anything] through which they move: just as they are seen moving, beyond these, nothing at all is able to be conceived (either comprehensibly or analogously to the comprehensible) as being apprehended according to whole natures, and not as what are called the 'symptoms' or 'properties' of these."