

# Are Epicurean Gods Compatible with Carl Jung's Collective Unconscious and Archetypes?

Post by “Kalosyni” of September 5, 2024 at 9:56 AM

Thank you @Twentier for your posts and good information and ideas you shared here in this thread in support of some of these aspects.

In last night's Zoom I didn't get as much agreement/understanding on this topic as I had hoped.

Perhaps the main contention with Jung is that his studies weren't done scientifically enough and some last night seemed to say it is too "woo-woo".

I haven't studied it enough of it myself yet.

Another reason, perhaps, could be due to variations in perceptions of reality and experiences of consciousness -- potentially just as there are different blood types, perhaps there are different brain types...especially the brains of "creatives" compared to brains of "scientists" and "data processors" (just throwing out unsubstantiated hypotheses as to why 😎).

And different brains may dream differently. I would propose that the major source of the "images" of the gods is from dreams (or another source is psychedelics as has been posted in another thread).

An interesting idea came to me, based partially on Epicurus...that perhaps even though there are many "images" coming into the psyche, it is only the "blessed and incorruptable" which are those of the gods. So those other images which are dark, painful, and ugly are not considered (or not labeled) to be the gods.