

Epicureanism and Scientism: What are the main differences?

Post by “Kalosyni” of July 25, 2024 at 9:23 AM

Here are some definitions from Wikipedia:

Quote

Scientism is the view that [science](#) and the [scientific method](#) are the best or only way to render [truth](#) about the [world](#) and [reality](#).^{[1][2]}

While the term was defined originally to mean "methods and attitudes typical of or attributed to natural scientists", some scholars, as well as [political](#) and [religious](#) leaders, have also adopted it as a pejorative term with the meaning "an exaggerated trust in the efficacy of the methods of natural science applied to all areas of investigation (as in [philosophy](#), the [social sciences](#), and the [humanities](#))".

[Source](#)

Quote

Science is a strict [systematic](#) discipline that builds and organizes [knowledge](#) in the form of [testable hypotheses](#) and [predictions](#) about the world.^{[1][2]} Modern science is typically divided into three major branches:^[3] the [natural sciences](#) (e.g., [physics](#), [chemistry](#), and [biology](#)), which study the [physical world](#); the [social sciences](#) (e.g., [economics](#), [psychology](#), and [sociology](#)), which study [individuals](#) and societies;^{[4][5]} and the [formal sciences](#) (e.g., [logic](#), [mathematics](#), and [theoretical computer science](#)), which study [formal systems](#), governed by [axioms](#) and rules.^{[6][7]} There is disagreement whether the formal sciences are scientific disciplines,^{[8][9][10]} as they do not rely on [empirical evidence](#).^{[11][9]} [Applied sciences](#) are disciplines that use scientific knowledge for practical purposes, such as in engineering and medicine.

[Source](#)

Quote

The **scientific method** is an [empirical](#) method for acquiring [knowledge](#) that has characterized the development of [science](#) since at least the 17th century. The scientific method involves careful [observation](#) coupled with rigorous [scepticism](#), because [cognitive assumptions](#) can distort the interpretation of the [observation](#). Scientific

inquiry includes creating a [hypothesis](#) through [inductive reasoning](#), testing it through experiments and statistical analysis, and adjusting or discarding the hypothesis based on the results.[\[1\]\[2\]\[3\]](#)

Although procedures vary from one [field of inquiry](#) to another, the underlying [process](#) is often similar. The process in the scientific method involves making [conjectures](#) (hypothetical explanations), deriving predictions from the hypotheses as logical consequences, and then carrying out experiments or empirical observations based on those predictions.[\[4\]](#) A hypothesis is a conjecture based on knowledge obtained while seeking answers to the question. The hypothesis might be very specific or it might be broad. Scientists then test hypotheses by conducting experiments or studies. A scientific hypothesis must be [falsifiable](#), implying that it is possible to identify a possible outcome of an experiment or observation that conflicts with predictions deduced from the hypothesis; otherwise, the hypothesis cannot be meaningfully tested.[\[5\]](#)

While the scientific method is often presented as a fixed sequence of steps, it represents rather a set of general principles. Not all steps take place in every [scientific inquiry](#) (nor to the same degree), and they are not always in the same order.

[Source](#)

Quote

Pseudoscience consists of statements, [beliefs](#), or practices that claim to be both scientific and factual but are incompatible with the [scientific method](#).[\[Note 1\]](#) Pseudoscience is often characterized by contradictory, exaggerated or [unfalsifiable claims](#); reliance on [confirmation bias](#) rather than rigorous attempts at refutation; lack of openness to [evaluation by other experts](#); absence of systematic practices when developing [hypotheses](#); and continued adherence long after the pseudoscientific hypotheses have been experimentally discredited.

[Source](#)

Quote

Junk science is [spurious](#) or [fraudulent](#) scientific [data](#), [research](#), or analysis. The concept is often invoked in political and legal contexts where facts and scientific results have a great amount of weight in making a determination. It usually conveys a [pejorative](#) connotation that the research has been untowardly driven by political, ideological, financial, or otherwise unscientific motives.

[Source](#)