

# Give Us an Example of God!

Post by “Eikadistes” of July 9, 2024 at 6:16 PM

## How about compatibility with other traditions' conceptions of God?

### I think mostly not:

- Religions that recognize the existence of a cosmic architect (and/or divine providence)
  - Incompatible with **Abrahamic** theology: *Judaism, Christianity, Islam*, etc.
  - Incompatible with **Vedic** theology: *Krishnaism, Shaivism, Smartism, Vaishnavism*, etc.
  - Incompatible with **Indigenous** theology: *Santería, Shinto, Tengrism, Voodoo*, etc.
- Philosophies that promote an eternal soul, immaterial consciousness, or universal mind
  - Incompatible with *Idealism, Panpsychicism, Subjectivism, and Transcendentalism*
  - Incompatible with *Advaita, Platonism, Shaktism, Stoicism, Vajrayāna, and Yogācāra*
  - Incompatible with *Cartesian Dualism, Yoga, Samkhya, Dvaita Vedanta, Sikhism, and Jainism*
  - Incompatible with the Āstika schools of *Mīmāṃsā, Nyaya, and Vaisheshika*
- Traditions that fail to provide any assurance of certainty regarding nature and reality
  - Incompatible with *Pyrrhonism, Skepticism*, and the *Nāstika* traditions of *Ājīvika* and *Ajñāna*
  - Incompatible with the *early Buddhism, Mādhyamaka*, and the Socratic Method
- *Similar* to *Charvaka* and *Yangism* in their hedonist ethics and naturalistic physics. But they are atheistic and non-theistic traditions, so they reject any images of God as having validity.
- *Somewhat* compatible *Taoism* in terms of spiritual naturalism, and, here, I think we find a mirror in that Taoist deities (in branches that recognize deities) are subject to the supreme nature of the Tao and not rulers of it, just as the Epicurean deities are subject to the forces of nature.

Just some thoughts...