

The Absurdity of Absurdism (?)

Post by “UnPaid_Landlord” of July 5, 2024 at 9:25 PM

[Quote from Cassius](#)

I watched a Youtube video on Camus tonight and i get the impression that interpretation of him is all over the board, all the way from existentialism, which he apparently strenuously denied, to there not being a dimes worth of difference between Camus and Nietzsche. It all seems to hinge on exactly who or what is being labeled as "absurd," and why, and that's where the lack of clarity is so glaring, much like the ambiguity of the legacy of Democritus leaves everyone wondering whether he was laughing "with" humanity or "at" humanity. I tend to think after brief exposure that Camus doesn't deserve to be considered to be on the "nihilist" team, but it also does not seem likely to me that his work could be interpreted so broadly if there were not some smoldering coals that create the smoke that surrounds him. I am thinking (so far) that there is probably a lot to learn from taking apart his views, but probably not much to be gained by holding up any system that he created as a model to emulate.

I know right ? It all depends on what he meant by "Absurd" and there are so many different definitions of the same, it can be quite confusing, btw, I would appreciate it if you share the link of the video you watched,

Here is a video from my end, I think he explains it well :-

https://youtu.be/rjx6o7NZOjE?si=9a_mLGuN1nUYsAvt