

New Article Attacking Epicurean Physics: "Science Versus the Oldest Anti-Intelligent Design Argument "

Post by "TauPhi" of May 13, 2024 at 5:30 PM

[Quote from Cassius](#)

I think that is an excellent point and it is where I (intuitively) think Epicurus is coming from.

You don't need to rely on intuition in this case. Epicurus deviated from Democritean physics by limiting the variety of atoms. By doing this he automatically put a stop to 'anything goes' universe.

[Quote from Cassius](#)

In addition, we have no reason to think, and therefore we should not think, that there are "other universes" in which there are an infinitely larger number of infinite types of atoms that do in fact create an "anything goes" environment.

I disagree. We should think about everything we are capable of imagining. Almost all of these ideas will be proven wrong but by thinking about everything we can think of, at least we give ourselves a chance of coming up with something brilliant.

[Quote from Cassius](#)

So what IS this guy's point?

In the quotation you provided, it is clear that this guy makes up arguments as he goes to support his point which can be challenged by a five-years-old.

... It is discovered that the universe is finite? Really? By whom?

... Materialist naturalism is crumbling? Really? Where besides this guy's own mind?

... Improbability of the miracle that is life... Right. That explains everything. Let's go with miracles, unicorns and rainbows. That approach is so much better than putting effort in studying our surroundings.