

# **New Article Attacking Epicurean Physics: "Science Versus the Oldest Anti-Intelligent Design Argument "**

**Post by "Cassius" of May 13, 2024 at 4:14 PM**

Quote

But new discoveries seem to have finished what the Big Bang theory started. It is becoming increasingly undeniable that the building blocks of life are far too unlikely to emerge by chance even in a universe as large and old as ours.

For a long time, Epicurus' version of the universe seemed probable to many. After natural philosophers realized how vast the universe really was, and again after the existence of atoms was confirmed, Epicurus seemed to be vindicated. But now, we have discovered that however vast the universe may be, it is finite, and its size does not hold a candle to the vast improbability of the miracle that is life. After more than 2,000 years, the original foundation of materialist naturalism in Western thought seems to be crumbling. The question is: how long will it take for the worldview built on that foundation to crumble too?

So what IS this guy's point? Is he arguing for intelligent design? A quick scan of the website "about" section does not make clear the site's orientation.

OK I guess the site does contain clues:

## TRENDING

---

C. S. Lewis on the Gullibility of the Elites

Dawkins Now on "Team Christianity"

Dawkins the New Cultural Christian

Two Measures of Intelligent Design's  
Advance

A Wonderful Debate About "Junk DNA"

This link originally came up through a Google search, so that's how I found it.