

If We Agree For The Sake of Argument That "The Perfect Should Not Be The Enemy of The Good," then let's ask "What **Should** We Consider To Be The Proper Relationship Between The Perfect And The Good?"

Post by "Eikadistes" of February 28, 2024 at 10:20 AM

[Quote from DavidN](#)

If gods are suppose to be perfect, at least [epicurean gods](#). should you not look to epicureans utility of the gods for there utility towards perfection.

I meant to propose the *same* point: when I think of "perfect" in the context of Epicurean philosophy, the first thing that comes to mind is the blameless, incorruptible, divine nature, which is also an example of an existence that truly enjoys *The Good, The Good* that is pure pleasure.

[Quote from Bryan](#)

he perfect is not **always** attainable for us.

I recall Epicurus reinforcing this point about describing the exclusive categories of "gods" and "mortals", and emphasizing that the two cannot be the same. We can approximate the perfection of a god-like existence, but we are still mortals with health problems and we can only do our best.

These are good points that you both shared, and I think key to discussing Epicurean "perfection".