

Further Thoughts On Science And Epicurean Philosophy

Post by “BrainToBeing” of January 10, 2024 at 9:02 AM

Admin Edit

Admin Edit! The title of this thread was inserted by Cassius when the first several posts below were moved to a new thread from their prior location in the "Welcome" thread for BrainToBeing. See post [#6](#) below. For a general introduction to the "Scientism" debate I suggest the article referenced in [this post from a nearby thread](#).

I need some help from you all on how to think about studying Epicurean philosophy.

The leaders in EpicureanFriends have devoted great time to the study of perspectives derived 2000 years ago. So, the question: How does this devotion lead you to life perspectives for today that you could not derive from similar effort to examining the world today?

This is not meant or intended to be a challenge. It is not that at all. Rather, it is a question about how the philosophical frameworks of two millennia ago are the same or different from today.

I'm listening to episode 200 of the podcasts. And, I've gotten "On The Nature of Things". And, I am ready to dive into The Letter to Menoeceus. But, before "going down the rabbit hole" I'm wondering if any of you can reflect on this issue of bringing "then" to "now". Thanks to any who wish to jump in. ([Joshua](#) You referenced the concept of such application in Episode 200).

When considering this it is interesting that a recurrent human behavior is to look for sages of long ago as frames of reference for today. Religions do this. Philosophies do this. Politics does this. It is an interesting generalization.

Anyway, thanks for any who can help me in this investigation.