

Epicurean Perspectives on Cultural Conflict

Post by "Elli" of March 28, 2019 at 6:15 AM

G. THE ISSUE OF MUSLIMS

That is, the issue that they call me Islamophobic.

The matter is enormous. It would need a separate proposition. I will confine myself to the "beginnings" in general:

There is a core in there. This core constantly produces a question: If the Koran is the truth, **why do we need the others?** Every time they decide and act accordingly. In Spain, then, which was theirs, they had developed a great literature and culture. Until they decided that the Koran was right and burned their libraries and books.

This question is repeated every now and then, and we will have to wait to see how they will answer, these or their children, or their grandchildren, or the great-grandchildren etc. to see if we are going to get caught up in this nonsense, we or our children, or our grandchildren, etc.

But this nonsense eventually emerged.

Protagonists of this tragic farce, is a muslim heresy, the Wahhabists (if I write it correctly).

This heresy has been around for almost three centuries. They have no priests, because they do not accept the interpretation of the Koran, they believe in it and apply it verbatim - that is, literally, they consider Sunnis as heretics, the Shiites as infidels, they destroy and disappear anything different. For example, in Saudi Arabia, where they dominate, there is no longer a building older than 500 years. They demolished even the mosques. The Buddha figures that were carved in the Mountain in Afghanistan were not destroyed by the Taliban, but by them.

It's not like Al-Qaida, which was applying "you hit me - I hit you", they only apply "I hit you". They have declared the "djih d", that is the sacred war, to defend "the supreme interest of human", which is of course "the true faith". So the struggle to conquer the infidels' countries is a struggle for the prevailing of the Sacred Law. These are not idealists!

It is, however, for laughter and crying. Here applies what someone said, that history is repeated only as a farce. The Turks had begun the same "djih d" in the very same area to give battle to Manzikert in 1071 and to reach the Aegean immediately afterwards. **In this way, the Turks conquered the primary position into the muslim society.** And the map of the new caliphate includes all the lands of the Ottoman Empire and even further to the borders of their hearts.

In Europe the signs were obvious for years. Of course, the Europeans did not understand anything. They implement the strategy of Lernaen Hydra. For every idiot of theirs who kills and being killed, must happen a fermentation and other, new ones of their jump out. That's why, after the strike in Nice, the appearances with burqas and such multiplied in this area. That is why the French Authorities reacted immediately. To burn the point they cut. The aim of their strategy is to accumulate hatred from the Westerns against the Muslims, to enhance hatred and end up in conflicts, etc. They become giants through blood. The funny thing is that in another such crime earlier, a French scientist psychologist appeared on television and said that the slaughterer Jihadist did it because of the unemployment in his neighborhood. I did not stand it, I ended up looking for tranquillizers.

As you have understood, all of this is about relaxing the society's cohesion in order to turn into an unstable system. Like that bottle we said before, standing upright with the spout down.

H. CASES OF INTERVENTION

The solutions that are being heard today are basically: 1) Open the border and whoever wants can pass through, and 2) Stand at the border with a machine gun and do not let anyone pass. From a strategic point of view, both are naive, to speak politely. They are not even strategic, they are tactical without strategy. You have to be very looser to think so. With the blinkers of ideology they can't see in time and space, and therefore can't evaluate the potential that, anyway, will bring the problem inside the borders in many ways.

As for my own views, I will bring three examples:

1) Once, in order for China to export products, it had to fall under the international trade treaties. It was blocked by United States. They sign a contract between each other (less than a page) that says: United States' financial capital does whatever it wants in China and in return China enters international trade. China applies dumping (subsidizes its products) and sells half-price. It attacks India and Pakistan. India endures, but Pakistan's industry is being destroyed. Waves of Pakistani immigrants are being created. The financial capital gives money to the ideology of "solidarity" to take care of them and "integrate" them. For humanitarian reasons, of course.

Who is the enemy? The Pakistani immigrant? China? The financial capital? Other; I don't know - I don't answer? If you find it, you also locate the goal. And only then you look for the solution. If you don't find it, go for a coffee.

Because there is no strategy without goal. And without strategy you are always defeated.

2) Norway has some oil. They live like kings because of this income.

3) Algeria is the world's third-largest producer of natural gas. Exports immigrants. New Guinea produces more oil than Norway. 70% of its population live below the poverty line.

What needs to be done: First of all, in the mental field, we must understand that we should intervene because it will be useful for our societies, that they should not be dissolved. That is, from all the scenarios, let's investigate the "probable", that is the most probable - this is from Letter to Herodotus - that is: either we will intervene or dissolve. That is, to understand the benefit. Because there is a huge problem for a human to understand what benefits him (Hermarchus, Philodemus, Diogenes of Oenoanda). The intervention should be political, economic, cultural, the possible combinations among them or anything else. However, all these will be the means to attain the goal, and not an end in itself. The intervention should be done where the pain is born.

The respect for the human personality that Epicurean philosophy teaches, does not allow us to leave these people helpless.

* *“Και μόνη σοι αύτη πιστή και βέβαιος ελπίς επί την αλήθειάν τε και εύρεσιν αυτής, άλλη δε ουδ ητισούν ή το κρίνειν δύνασθαι και χωρίζειν από των αληθών τα ψευδήΚαι εί ποτε τοιαύτην τινά δύναμιν και τέχνην πορισάμενος ήεις επί την εξέτασιν των λεγομένων. εί δέ μη, εύ ίσθι ως ουδέν κωλύσει σε της ρινός έλκεσθαι υφ' εκάστων”*. Lucian “About Heresies”, 810, "Epicurus, Texts, Sources of the Epicurean Philosophy and Art of Living", G. Avramidis, THYRATHEN Publications.

** Side by side. (benefactors and beneficiaries are not next to each other, not even Islamists with Christians and others).