

# **A Challenge To Epicurean Thinking Grounded in Epistemology and Physics**

**Post by “Eikadistes” of March 16, 2022 at 6:16 PM**

I like that description.

We don't assume that supernatural explanations when proposing a hypothesis because it refutes the the intention of hypothesizing in the first place. "There is no explanation" is not an explanation. In Epicurus' time, the reason for celestial objects revolving was not understood. Similarly, in our time, coherence between gravity and quantum physics is not understood. Like Epicurus, we have a variety of sometimes mutually-exclusive hypotheses to solve these unknowns. I propose, like the revolving of the planets, we will eventually provide a functional description of the coherence between gravity and quantum physics that does not rely on imagined paradoxes like "immaterial matter".