

Argumentation Theory of Stephen E. Toulmin

Post by "Kalosyni" of February 3, 2022 at 11:40 AM

As we bring in the application of Epicurean principles into daily life, we may need to more effectively base ideas both on specific principles AND on observable sensations, so that we can use the philosophy effectively.

Also it can help everyone here on the forum present their ideas more clearly.

More on using the Toulmin model:

- 1) The claim, the first element in an argument, is an assertion, thesis or proposition and answers the question: "What do I want to prove?"
- 2) The grounds, the second element in an argument, are evidence, specific facts or data that support the claim and answer the question: "What do I have to go on?"
- 3) The warrant, the third element in an argument, yields general support that links the grounds to the claim -- either implied or stated, depending on the audience -- and answers the question: "How do I get from evidence to claim?"

Then further:

- 4) Is it necessary to add "Backing" ? -- Backing is an additional factor considered very important to the claim. "Is the move from grounds to claim safe and reliable?"
- 5) Is it necessary to add a "Rebuttal" ? A rebuttal is an exception that might make the claim invalid. "What possibilities might upset the argument? (This might add a new starting point, or claim).
- 6) Is "Qualification" necessary? With what level of certainty will the evidence be found to effectively support the claim? (adding in words such as "likely", etc.)

Based on this PDF:

<https://www.google.com/url?q=https://journals.iupui.edu/index.php/teachingwriting/article/viewFile/821/810&L1AhX7JDQIHxACx4QFnoECAkQAg&usq=AOvVaw2Xx3fWmBzQ2EGG0LFq0tnR>

<https://www.epicureanfriends.com/thread/2381-argumentation-theory-of-stephen-e-toulmin/?postID=16542#post16542>