

Not all research is scientific, or involves critical thinking.

Post by “Don” of July 30, 2021 at 10:55 PM

[Quote from Cassius](#)

If so that surely does not apply to Epicurus and one begins to wonder just how much reading into Epicurus she has done - is it possible that the answer is "not much"?

That would be my guess. I get the impression (albeit cursory and superficial) that a lot of physicists and "hard scientists" don't "bother" with philosophy, current or Ancient, and see it as superfluous at best or simply unnecessary and a distraction from important things.

Example (emphasis added):

Quote

Now, look, I know that physicists have a reputation of being narrow-minded. But the reason we have this reputation is that we tried the crazy shit long ago and just found it doesn't work. You call it "narrow-minded," we call it "science." We have moved on.

I realize she's talking specifically about panpsychism here, but I get the impression that this is applicable to a lot of topics.