

# Astronomical Events During the Time of Epicurus (& Discussion on Letter to Pythocles Section 91)

Post by “Eikadistes” of May 28, 2021 at 12:16 PM

That's a good point and it makes me consider a few other things:

The Heliocentric model, itself, was in need of being tweaked for systems with binary stars (*which are actually the most common types of systems in the universe*), so **Epicurus'** model is consistent with contemporary relativity. That also applies to any systems (**WARNING: EXTREME SPECULATION**) with life-holding worlds that have stable orbits around Black Holes.

Heliocentrism carries a danger of being seen as a geometrically-ideal solar configuration to which other Solar System must necessarily conform. Relatively deflates that.

For that matter, his speculation is also applicable to (*another common, cosmic possibility, to which our own system is, again, an unusual rarity*) systems where the only identifiable life exists on the satellites of gas giants. To a lunar organism making **this** cosmic inquiry on the moon of a Gas Giant, the discovery of Heliocentrism – which is *key* to a planetary organism – becomes a **less** significant scientific advancement than Geocentrism *to a lunar organism, especially* considering that a primary energy source for such a satellite would be volcanism, fueled by the shifting tidal forces of the parent gas giant's colossal gravitational force, not the Sun. Geocentrism *is* the Heliocentrism of Moonlings.

Given attempts to measure the relative sizes and energetic-importance of neutron stars, gas giants, brown dwarfs, and supermassive black holes to the possible life-supporting planetoids which orbit around them, Copernican Heliocentrism comes up *sort of* short.