

Tactical Question for the Group Re Terminology In Discussing Reason and Logic

Post by “Elayne” of January 20, 2021 at 10:37 PM

Well, I was using the standard meaning of "first principles"... I don't see DeWitt even using that term. Anything but an axiom, an unprovable first principle in the way that term is used by everyone I've ever heard use it, would be subject to revision and falsification from observations--- IF we agree that observations are how we know about reality.

Otherwise, the philosophy is left in the dust by new observations and cannot adjust. It is a disastrous mistake to cling to a conclusion as if it must remain inviolable. And there is no reason that the rest of the philosophy falls apart just because some of these statements are not necessarily accurate. It's just not true. The philosophy is far more sturdy than you've made it out to be, [Cassius](#) ! Reality is an excellent and sufficient testimony to EP.