

# Discussion of Blog Article - "Reality Does Not Require Being Eternally The Same"

Post by "DaveT" of April 2, 2026 at 4:32 PM

For conversation's sake: What philosopher actually proves anything? We've probably dealt with that issue often enough, but my devilish sense of humor drove me to ask it again.

But seriously, imagine for a moment, Epicurus and Kant, and, I don't know, Camus at a conference somewhere, together in a reserved room at the end of the day, sipping ouzo, schnapps, and napoleon brandy, and discussing their lives "back in the day". Each of them having enjoyed notoriety after being in the major leagues of existential thinking, and having read the work of the others, they talk amiably. Would they not have common respect for each other and be able to good naturedly but seriously, poke each other in the ribs verbally on this point or that? And then afterwards they all retired to their bedrooms resolving to keep their own counsel on differences among them?

Every comparison of existential concepts need not be direct testimony, cross examination, re-direct and re-cross into infinity until tempers flare.

If you are studying philosophy (as we do) at any level, you are already among the elite, and capable of thinking about competing existential concepts. I don't think anyone needs to fear that comparative points on an Epicurean forum will confuse novice students. I suspect all forum members are already leaning into Epicurus and high level discussions.

As I said previously, I'd welcome and certainly would learn from such discussions if any two or more care to engage in it.