

Plato's Timaeus vs. On Nature, Book 14

Post by "Cassius" of January 28, 2025 at 7:42 AM

Bryan also the quote that starts off the basic assertion of your post is:

Quote

First then, in my judgment, we must make a distinction and ask, What is that which always is and has no becoming; and what is that which is always becoming and never is? That which is apprehended by intelligence and reason is always in the same state; but that which is conceived by opinion with the help of sensation and without reason, is always in a process of becoming and perishing and never really is.

(that gutenber page does not have line numbers so I need a better source.

Hard to find a more clear statement than that to the effect that what the senses present to us is not real at all! It never really "is."

Whereas to Epicurus it is exactly the reverse, that which we cannot sense is *nothing* to us.