

# Give Us an Example of God!

Post by "Eikadistes" of July 9, 2024 at 8:04 PM

This brings up another point: various Epicureans have presented the argument that a Creator cannot be a god because a Creator must have been *bored*, or *lonely*, or *in need of other beings* prior to creation; such a state is inconsistent with blessedness. That seems to suggest that the Christian deity does not meet the qualifications for being a true god because of its primordial boredom.

*Like I said*, I tend to think of gods as being advanced animals, especially because it illustrates the ethics of self-improvement, *however*, I'm not sure that position is consistent with Epicurean theology. If a Creator cannot be a god because it was once needy, can a quasi-animal be a god if it was once a normal animal, subject to the specter of disease and death? God should *always* have been blessed.

I cannot imagine a being that has *always* been blessed, unless, through a pure act of chance, yet a chance so specific and rare that a quasi-animal-being with a quasi-biology forms (like the rarity of dumping a huge bag of Scrabble pieces and it creating the entirety of the *Lord of the Rings*), and this moment of "emaculate conception" (*so to speak...*) can be described as a sort of [infinity -1], where the blessed quasi-animal formed before any particular time you can apprehend in time. Yet for that being to breathe quasi-air, have functioning quasi-blood, and to have friends seems to require other conditions that make the "emaculate conception" possibility too unlikely to entertain.

That creates quite a conundrum. How can a blessed quasi-animal ***always*** have existed?

**Or can it *not*?** (And I might be mis-reading the intent of the anti-Creator arguments)