

# The Absurdity of Absurdism (?)

Post by "UnPaid\_Landlord" of July 5, 2024 at 9:20 PM

## [Quote from Cassius](#)

Please don't take these or my prior remarks as being unfriendly, because I do not mean them in that way at all. All of us have gone through different philosophies in the past and we would not be here now if we have not.

Having said that, this is an Epicurean forum, and not a generalist philosophy group where all philosophies are equally "respected" and discussed dispassionately. And therefore I would say:

## [Quote from UnPaid Landlord](#)

As I understand it, it's more about accepting the reality with it's absurd nature and still living passionately

This is exactly the point in issue --- life does NOT have an absurd nature, from my point of view, and from what I read of Epicurus, he would say the same. Giving in on the question of whether "life is absurd" and not challenging that perspective is to give up the issue at the beginning.

## [Quote from UnPaid Landlord](#)

but I think it need not be a pessimistic philosophy, I don't why some people just misunderstand many things as negative, for example I have personally met some fellows who think Buddhism is really negative and pessimistic, and I can't understand what them at all,

Same perspective here, but in the reverse. I DO see Buddhism as essentially negative and pessimistic, and I cannot understand at all why someone would view it otherwise.



To repeat, we have all gone through different phases and perspectives and I don't make these statements to be argumentative. If we didn't have discussions with people who come to Epicurean discussion with different views, then we'd never give anyone the opportunity to engage with pro-Epicurean positions and potentially change their

minds.

But we would not be an Epicurean forum if we did not --- at the same time that we welcome people who are not currently in tune with Epicurean views - state clearly how we see Epicurus' views compare with others, and if we did not advocate for *Epicurus'* position, rather than accept very contradictory positions as if they were equally valid.

*In this thread* we're focusing on Absurdism and digging directly into the negative aspects, and it is unfortunate that we're doing so without having first engaged with you (talking to Unpaid\_Landord) on the commonalities between what you're saying and Epicurus. I hope that you'll not get such an immediate bad impression from this thread that you don't continue to keep an open mind about this forum and Epicurus in general.

I don't think Epicurus would advise starting out tacking divisive subjects immediately, so this turn of discussion is probably unfortunate. But I think Epicurus would say that when clear issues arise, and others are watching (this is a public forum that anyone can read) it's best not to gloss over and defer deep issues for a later time that may never come. That's one reason why so much of the preliminary materials on this website that people go through in the registration process are geared toward putting such issues front and center, so they get discussed early.

Display More

It's fine my friend, I am not upset or anything, I don't actually care all that much about this, the simple reason I follow absurdism or anything for that matter is because it helped me or worked for me, that's it, I am not interested in convincing anyone of my philosophical stance, whether it's my absurdism or my Epicureanism, because I am not a propogator, just a follower, I follow it as long as it works and drop it if it doesn't,

The simple reason I commented earlier and gave a reply to your posts was to give my own point of view regarding what I thought of as 'Absurdism' ,

**"Same perspective here, but in the reverse. I DO see Buddhism as essentially negative and pessimistic, and I cannot understand at all why someone would view it otherwise. ;)"**

**Note :- I don't get how to quote more than once so I am just copying pasting,**

for me the best philosophy is the one that works for 'You', if it doesn't work for you, even the most beautiful and logical philosophies are useless, so yeah it seems like Buddhism didn't make sense to you but Epicureanism did, so that's good enough

**"I hope that you'll not get such an immediate bad impression from this thread that you don't continue to keep an open mind about this forum and Epicurus in general."**

Well no ? I didn't really get a bad impression or anything, so yeah, so don't worry about it, (I am just talking not arguing, I wonder if my posts come across like that ?)

Anyways I like Epicurus and his philosophy a lot, because he has worked so far for me, so not gonna stop learning it anytime soon.

The comments by everyone on the topic has been insightful, I am learning a lot, thanks