

Pros and Cons Of Considering Epicurean Philosophy To Be A "Religion"

Post by "Cassius" of March 25, 2024 at 6:49 AM

[Quote from Don](#)

So, by definition, IF the gods live in the space between cosmoi, they, by the definition of intermundia "between world-systems", they have no world to stand on nor stars to see.

[Quote from Don](#)

So, by definition, IF the gods live in the space between cosmoi, they, by the definition of intermundia "between world-systems", they have no world to stand on nor stars to see. There's obviously some matter in that space between worlds but not enough to have a world, otherwise the gods would be *in a cosmos*.

Just to weigh in here, for the reasons stated by Bryan in post 118 I personally would not reach these conclusions myself. I don't see why a boundary area has to be essentially sharply defined or without width. They might not have their own "world" but that doesn't mean to me that they don't have plenty of room within which to be living.

To quip a little, if they have quasi-bodies filled with quasi-blood, they could be standing on quasi-land.