

Six manuscripts of Diogenes Laertius

Post by “Don” of March 23, 2024 at 3:13 PM

Here's from my Menoikeus material:

The six manuscripts listed by Bailey as the most significant and "representing a careful copying" are:

- codex Borbonicus Neapolitanus gr. iii B. 29 (12th c) known as B
- codex Parisinus gr. 1759 (14th c. paper manuscript, publication date: 1075-1150) known as P
 - <https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b8470453h/f497.item> (the letter begins four lines from the bottom of folio 243r)
- codex Parisinus gr. 1758 (14th or 15th c., publication date: 1401-1500) known as Q
 - <https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b107231783/f203.item> (letter starts 16 lines down on left folio on page number 148)
- codex Constantinopolitanus Veteris Serail. (14th or 15th c.) known as Co
- codex Laurentianus LXIX. 35 (14th c., date: 1101-1200) known as H
 - <http://mss.bmlonline.it/Catalogo.aspx?Shelfmark=Plut.69.35>
 - Plut.69.35 is listed in the online catalog as coming from the 12th century, so I am unsure if it is H or something else. However, its inclusion in the Laurentian Library and its shelfmark 69.35 (i.e., LXIX. 35) leads me to believe it is. I'm not sure why Bailey gives it a date of 14th century.
- codex Vaticanus gr. 140 (14th c.) known as W
 - https://digi.vatlib.it/view/MSS_Vat.gr.140 (letter begins 13 lines down on right folio 177)