

Further Thoughts On Science And Epicurean Philosophy

Post by "BrainToBeing" of January 11, 2024 at 11:25 AM

[Quote from Cassius](#)

Not many people are going to agree on what, if **anything** has been learned "philosophically" in the last 2000 years. Lots of ink has been spilled for sure, but has the conversation really progressed in substance since the Greco-Roman debates of 2000 years ago? I think a considerable number of people would argue that philosophy has **regressed** since that time. And that's the kind of question that needs to be addressed. Is spilling ink and chasing rabbits really progress showing that certain things have been learned? The big questions of life seem to remain the big questions of life from age to age.

See, this is EXACTLY why I am here. You and colleagues are smart and educated. You can consider questions of these types. AND you bring a wealth of knowledge about what was written those many years ago. So, you and your colleagues are exactly the right people to consider "what have we learned" as a way of considering "where are we going".

I am learning good things from you and your colleagues! Were it not for your site, and the way you make these discussions available, I would not have spent any significant time on the classical philosophers. This would not be because I thought they had nothing to say. With emphasis, "THEY DO, STILL!" But, I would have followed Antoine de St. Exupery's observation, "More wisdom is latent in things as they are than in all the words [or ink] men use." HOWEVER, you and your colleagues make the investigation of these past writings relevant. So, thank you!

I'm doing my "homework". The most recent DeWitt is not currently available on Amazon; so, I've gotten a couple of others on Epicurus and Lucretius in the interim.

Cheers!