

Debate Arising from James Webb Space Telescope

Post by "Cassius" of August 26, 2022 at 9:56 AM

I am going to run out of time for comment right now but these issues also involve the question of "reasoning by analogy" and the issues discussed in Philodemus On Signs / On Methods of Inference

Part of the reason for my own position would also involve the "Nature never creates only a single thing of it's kind" deduction. We have loads of analogies to things which pulsate and go back and forth, but the suggestion that there was once a one-of-a kind event is not made more plausible to be unique by calling it a "singularity"

At the very least this would cause me to deduce an unending series of big bangs, but at the same time I do not accept the plausibility of the universe not being infinite in size, so I do not believe it plausible that the universe can collapse on a "center".

So my own view which is admittedly my own view and nothing more is that the most likely scenario given present observations is an unending series of bangs in unending number throughout an "infinite" space (not sequentially around one center).

I am sure that will get you an F in physics class but that is my personal deduction that I am willing to live my life by given my current reading to date..

And of course that comment raises the issue of how we deal with "experts" and questions of "scientific consensus" and all that, which Ren mentioned above. That in itself is worth a long discussion.