

# Is There A Relationship Between "Anticipations" and "Instinct"?

Post by "Cassius" of April 24, 2021 at 3:37 PM

At this part of the conversation I would like to provide some cites from the Bailey position to show that regardless of what Barrett might be talking about, Bailey DOES (I would argue) equate anticipations with general concepts which are then used and recombined in the future to construct the rest of human thought. The first one I can put my hands on is Bailey's commentary on Diogenes Laertius in the Greek Atomists and Epicurus, in which he says he is adopting the position generally taken by the commentating community. I'll paste some of the critical part of the discussion here.

## Quote from Don

Its all pre-rational, we don't "know" it's going on. The model or concept can get refined but it works below the surface.

In distinction to this statement about it being pre-rational, Bailey says "**a concept is not fully known until it is named, until it has a label by which it may at once be called into prominence in the mind.**" (last page pasted below)



