

PD10 - Interpretations of PD 10 Discussion

Post by "Don" of January 18, 2021 at 6:47 PM

[Quote from Elayne](#)

Don if the fullness of pleasure is in a pill,

You're seriously going to entertain that even as a hypothetical?

[Quote from Elayne](#)

He recommends choice/avoidance because they work. If something else worked better, he would recommend something else. It's a pragmatic thing, not an absolute.

Of course, he does! I find it hard to seriously accept that you're even hypothetically saying someone else's chemistry in a pill or someone else's technology in a machine will give me my own subjective pleasure better than my own subjective choices and rejections? Are you really saying that so I don't misinterpret?

Of course, he provides a pragmatic solution. Epicurus was providing practical solutions to real-world problems. I don't think I'm being "absolutist." I'm saying I can make better choices for my own pleasure than someone else. By your "logic," I could just as easily say I'm going to let another person - or maybe the government - make choices about what would give me the most pleasure.