

## PD10 - Interpretations of PD 10 Discussion

Post by "Cassius" of January 18, 2021 at 11:01 AM

### [Quote from Don](#)

"There's no way to adequately answer this hypothetical given the possible parameters we can **realistically** assign to it."

Ok that's very close to the same question. Must a hypothetical be "realistic" to be worth entertaining. That could be very close to the issue of "conceivability" apparently discussed by Epicurus. Is there, or should we consider there to be, a bright line against hypotheticals which are "inconceivable?" I think there are at least a couple of examples of "inconceivability" as a criterion in Lucretius but I would have to check.

Before we go too down that road we'd want to consider whether [Epicurean gods](#) are conceivable or inconceivable (presumably the former) and also whether it is conceivable to talk about a human being as a god (also presumably yes, per [Epicurus' letter to menoeceus](#) and also the reference in Lucretius to Epicurus being godlike).