

PD10 - Interpretations of PD 10 Discussion

Post by “Elayne” of January 18, 2021 at 7:27 AM

[Don](#) if it was a true bliss pill as advertised, then it would provide reliable pleasure. Otherwise it's just false advertising. As I've said already, I'd tend to be distrustful of it, given the history of pharmaceutical promises! ☐ But if it were really as advertised, there's no clear Epicurean argument against it.

And yes, that is exactly what I am trying to say-- that as I see it, when you used [PD10](#) to argue against a hypothetical it wasn't designed for, [Cassius](#) and I replied bringing in the context of the whole philosophy. I am trying to show you that you were doing what you said we had done. I see it as the other way around-- we were limiting the PD to its specific context without taking it to any general conclusion that would contradict the rest of the philosophy.